

Mississippi State University

ACADEMIC OPERATING POLICY AND PROCEDURE

MEMORANDUM: TO:

ersedec All Holders of Mississippi State University Academic **Operating Policy and Procedure Manual**

DATE:

August 2011

SUBJECT:

AOP 13.24 – Annual Faculty Review Procee

PURPOSE:

The purpose of this Academic Operating Policy and Procedure (AOP) is to promote our understanding of both standards and procedures concerning the annual review of facility.

REVIEW:

This AOP will be reviewed every four years (or whenever tircumstances require an earlier review) by the Associate Provost for Academic Affairs (APAA) with recommendations for revision to the Provost and Executive Vice President.

POLICY/PROCEDURE:

The annual review of faculty is an import of the faculty member's professional development and of the university's need for regular ssessment. The annual reviews may be part of the promotion/tenure, post-tenure review, and merit raise processes. As such, the annual review should be based on the faculty member's job description and offer letter along with any documented modifications to these items, goals and objectives stated in the previous annual review, and the department and college promotion and tenure documents.

The annual review is a way for the faculty member to detail achievements and for the department head or appropriate supervision to inform the faculty member about the unit's or departmental goals and the faculty member's rollin achieving those goals. Accordingly, the annual review process should be viewed as a positive process in the faculty member's career.

The Original formation of the faculty and the faculty and annual review form for reporting their a conglishments over the last calendar year. Units may develop their own annual review forms. They mus be approved by the Office of Academic Affairs.

The focus of the annual review will be the previous year's accomplishments and setting goals/objectives for the upcoming year. It will also address progress toward tenure and/or promotion in cases where the faculty member is at the assistant or associate professor level.

The annual review procedure shall be as follows:

- 1. The faculty member submits the annual evaluation form and contributing documents to the department head/supervisor.
- 2. The department head/supervisor shall write an evaluation of the faculty member, based on the evaluation form and supporting materials, providing the faculty member with a copy.
- 3. The faculty member and department head/supervisor shall discuss the previous year's accomplishments and goals and objectives for the current year.
- 4. The annual review signed by both parties shall be submitted to the dean.
- 5. If the faculty member is dissatisfied with the review, the faculty member will law ten working days after signing the annual review to request an additional review beyond the department head as outlined in this document.

Annual reviews shall be completed by March 15. If the head/supervisor has not completed the review by the deadline, the faculty member may request that the annual review be concluded by the dean. If the faculty member has not submitted an annual review, the department may complete the review process without the consent or cooperation of the faculty member. Either party carulty member or head/supervisor, may request an extension of deadlines to the Office of Academic Affairs in extreme circumstances.

The department head/supervisor shall not impose standards not are inconsistent with or exceed; [i] standards of the department's promotion and tenure documents; [ii] standards for an individual/specific academic discipline; [iii] availability of necessary essences; [iv] respective FTE assignment; [v] finite opportunities existing within a given academic field; o a [vi] realistic level of expectation.

Any changes in the annual review process or in the evaluation standards proposed by the department head must be provided in both hardcopy and electronic format to all department faculty. Solicitation of comments and majority approval by the department faculty of all proposed changes must be in accordance with MSU Principles of University Governance guidelines and occur prior to January 1st of the year under review.

Each year the faculty member and the head/supervisor shall identify goals and objectives for the coming year. These goals and objectives should be consistent with the faculty member's efforts towards tenure, promotion, and fulfilling the faculty member's career goals. The department head/supervisor should indicate in writing whether in the judgment of the head/supervisor, the yearly goals and objectives are consistent with the career objectives of the faculty member and consistent with the overall goals of the department/unit Department head/supervisors must uphold the standings of promotion/tenure while respecting the faculty member's academic freedom.

in the written section of the annual review, the faculty member shall discuss progress on the previous year's goals and accomplishments. The department head/supervisor and the faculty member shall discuss the faculty member's progress and include a written assessment of such in the annual review. The department head/supervisor should provide an evaluation, reflecting the faculty member's progress towards promotion, tenure, and/or career goals.

If the head/supervisor and the faculty member agree on the goals and objectives for the upcoming year and on the assessment of the previous year's accomplishments, both should sign the annual review form, completing the annual review process. If the head/supervisor and the faculty member cannot reach agreement on appropriate goals and objectives or on the assessment of the previous year's accomplishments, the nature of the disagreement should be detailed in addenda by the head and by the faculty member.

The annual review form shall have the following signature lines for the head/supervisor and for the faculty member:

Faculty Member Signature	Date
I agree with the annual review. Faculty Signature:	See addenda []
I disagree with the annual review. Faculty Signature:	See addenda []
Comments Attached: Additional Information:	SVI
Faculty Member Signature	Date
Appeal Requested:	
Faculty Member Signature	Date
Head/Supervisor:	
Signature	Date
Director or Dean:	
Signature	Date

Additional Review Requested by the Faculty Member

The faculty member may request an additional review of the annual review document for the following reasons:

- 1. The process viol ted the standards set out in the department's promotion/tenure documents.
- 2. The expectations and standards applied are inconsistent with the goals and objectives set out in the previous year's evaluation.
- The contail review of the faculty member's performance is negative, but offers no specifics on what was deemed inadequate or on how to overcome the points where the performance is below standards.
- The process and/or review was unfair, not objective, and/or reflects personal bias.
- 5. The annual reviewer's performance expectations are inconsistent with the limitations of the respective FTE assignments.
- 6. The annual review does not reflect a correlation between performance expectations and availability of necessary resources.

The faculty member shall request within 10 working days of signing the annual review that the dean review the document. Within 10 working days the dean should meet separately with the department head/supervisor and the faculty member to discuss the disagreement over the annual review. Within 10 working days, the dean will report back in writing to the faculty member the results of the meetings and his/her decision of agreement or disagreement with the evaluation. The dean, based on his/her findings, may request a new review of the faculty member by the department head/supervisor or tenured faculty in the department of equal or higher rank.

If either the faculty member or the administrators include annual reviews as part of the promotion and tenure process, all documents created under this AOP shall be provided.

RESPONSIBILITIES: Position Section Review Associate Provost for Academic Affairs **APPROVED**: /s/ Peter L. Ryan Associate Provost for Academic Affairs Date /s/ Jerome A. Gilbert 09/01/2011 Provost and Executive Vice President Date 0 /s/ Meghan Millea 09/02/2011 President, Robert Holland Faculty Senate Date **REVIEWED BY:** /s/ Lesia Bryant 09/06/2011 Office of Internal Audi Date /s/ Joan L. Lucas 09/12/2011 General Counse Date APPROVE 10/14/2011 eenum Date